PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	28	FEB	2005	
MIPO			PCT	

rom the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	WIPO	PCT			
To: ZEEV PEARL EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN-ZEDEK	PCT	,			
7 SHENKAR STREET HERZLIA, ISRAEL 46725	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	۰۰۰			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	COO			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
P-6129-PC	filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
Illicitational appropria	ming date (17,00,000	13)			
PCT/IL04/00863 19 September	2004 (19.09.2004) 17 September 2003 (17.09.200	(3)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national	I classification and IPC				
IPC(7): H03B 29/00 and US Cl.: 381/71.1					
Applicant					
SILENTIUM LTD.					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol	lowing items:				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority		i.			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opin	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applica	ability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	1				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	r Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ind d explanations supporting such statement	ustrial			
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the inte	ernational application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on t	he international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/2	220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Authorized officer Le Huyen Telephone No. (703) 305-4700	they			

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/IL04/00863	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
in written format				
in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additional comments:				
·				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL04/00863

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>6, 10-13, 15, 19-29</u> Claims <u>1-5, 7-9, 14, 30, 31</u>	YES NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 10-13, 15, 19-29 Claims 1-9, 14, 30, 31	YES NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-31 Claims NONE	YES NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-5,14, 16-18, 30, and 31 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by McLean, US Patent 6,084,971. McLean discloses an active noise attenuation system comprising an acoustic sensor (microphone 30), estimator 37 and acoustic transducer 32. Claims 1 and 18 are met. As to claims 2-5, there is disclosed an error microphone 40. Per claim 14, the estimation function is non linear in time. As to claims 16, 17, 30 and 31, the sensor is a microphone and the acoustic transducer 32 is a speaker.

Claim 6-9 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over McLean in view of Vaishya, US Patent Publication 2003/0112980 further in view of Feintuch, US Patent 5,117,401. McLean does not disclose a speaker transfer function module and a modulation transfer function module for estimating the noise destructive pattern and noise pattern, respectively. Vaishya discloses a digital filter which models the signal path for noise cancellation, thereby having a modulation transfer function. Feintuch discloses an active noise canceller with a speaker transfer function. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teachings of Vaishya and Feintuch and include the claimed transfer functions for the purpose of ensuring that the error evaluator determines an accurate residual error measurement. As to claims 7-9, those features are inherent to active noise cancellation.

Claims 10-13, 15, and 19-29 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a center parameter, radius parameter or intensity parameter as a parameter for minimizing error in the active noise cancellation method. There is also no teaching of a radial basis function or primary estimator to produce a predicted primary signal and a secondary estimator to produce a predicted secondary signal.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL04/00863

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(7) : H03B 29/00 US CL : 381/71.1							
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC							
B. FIELDS SEARCHED							
Minimum do U.S. : 3	ocumentation searched (classification system followe 381/71.1, 381, 71.2, 71.3, 71.4, 71.8, 71.11, 71.12	d by classification symbols)					
Documentati	ion searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)							
C. · DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
X	US 6,084,971 A (MCLEAN) 04 July 2000 (04.07	.2000), figure 1, entire specification	1-5, 14, 16-18, 30, 31				
Y	US 5,117,401 A (FEINTUCH) 26 May 1992 (26.0	6-9					
Y	US 2003/0012980 A1 (VAISHYA) 19 June 2003 (6-9					
			j				
		Ì					
1		}					
	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.					
_	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of	"T" later document published after the intern date and not in conflict with the applicati principle or theory underlying the inventi	on but cited to understand the				
•	lication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the cla considered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone					
	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to e publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y" document of particular relevance; the cla considered to involve an inventive step w	claimed invention cannot be				
"O" document r	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	with one or more other such documents, obvious to a person skilled in the art	such combination being				
priority date		"&" document member of the same patent far	mily				
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search Date of mailing of the international search							
Name and mai	27 January 2005 (27.01.2005) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Authorized officex						
Mail	Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	W 100 10 11 10 1					
	missioner for Patents Box 1450	Le Huyen					
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450							
дезине 140.	(100) 303-3230		I				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)